UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,357	11/26/2003	Min Kyu Lim	0465-1085P	6147
2292 7590 03/10/2008 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER	
			STINSON, FRANKIE L	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1792	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/721,357	LIM, MIN KYU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	FRANKIE L. STINSON	1792				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ja</u>	nuary 2008					
	action is non-final.					
·=	· <del></del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
		3.3.2.3.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6 and 10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6 and 10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/721,357 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

1. In view of the remarks filed January 28, 2008, it is agreed that designation of the Office Action dated Sept. 28, 2007 as a "Final Rejection" was in fact premature and is hereby removed.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 5, 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan'551 (Japan 2000-4551) in view of Armstrong et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 2,568,479).

Re claims 1 and 6, Japan'551 is cited disclosing a washing machine comprising (see fig. 1):

an outer tub holding water;

an inner tub in the outer tub to hold a laundry; and

an outer rotor type motor comprising:

a stator having an outer circumference on which a coil is wound;

a rotor having a frame and a magnet, the entirety of the magnet being located between the rotor frame and the stator, the magnet having a guide groove (162) located in its side and provided to leave a predetermined interval from an outside of the stator, the rotor being adapted to rotate when a current is applied to the coil; wherein the frame has a fixing means extending inward to fit into the guide groove for fixing the

Application/Control Number: 10/721,357 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

rotor and the fixing means is built in one body of an inner circumference of the frame; and

a shaft (7) connecting the motor to the inner tub that differs from the claims only in the recitation of the guide groove being located on a top side of the magnet. To employ a guide groove at different locations for securing the magnet to the rotor is deemed to be a mere rearrangement/duplication of parts (see MPEP 2144.04 REVERSAL, DUPLICATION OR RE-ARRANGEMENT OF PARTS) in that it is old and well know to locate securing means in various locations for a firm and proper fit and to employ multiple securing means for increasing strength and rigidity. Nonetheless, Armstrong is cited disclosing the arrangement of providing in a rotor, a magnet having a guide groove (11a) in the top side of the magnet. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the arrangement of Japan'551, to include a guide groove in the top side of the magnetic as taught by Armstrong, for the purpose of ensuring a firm and proper fitting of the magnets onto the rotor frame. Re claims 5 and 10, Japan'551 (through the use of metal indicia cross-hatching) and Armstrong disclose the metal based material.

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1, 5, 6 and 10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. It has been noted that Applicant amended claims to circumvent the rejection dated September 28, 2007 and therefore the following is deemed applicable:
  Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In Japan'058 and Japan'845, note the supporting means.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and some Saturdays from approximately 5:30 am to 11:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,357 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/FRANKIE L. STINSON/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

fls